

Efficacy of Povidone-Iodine Nasal and Oral Antiseptic Preparations Against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)

Jesse S. Pelletier, MD¹, Belachew Tessema, MD, FACS^{2,3} ,
Samantha Frank, MD³ , Jonna B. Westover⁴, Seth M. Brown, MD^{2,3},
and Joseph A. Capriotti, MD⁵

Abstract

Introduction: Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the pathogen responsible for the global pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). From the first reported cases in December 2019, the virus has spread to over 4 million people worldwide. Human-to-human transmission occurs mainly through the aerosolization of respiratory droplets. Transmission also occurs through contact with contaminated surfaces and other fomites. Improved antisepsis of human and nonhuman surfaces has been identified as a key feature of transmission reduction. There are no previous studies of povidone iodine (PVP-I) against SARS-CoV-2. This study evaluated nasal and oral antiseptic formulations of PVP-I for virucidal activity against SARS-CoV-2. This is the first report on the efficacy of PVP-I against the virus that causes COVID-19. **Methods:** Povidone iodine nasal antiseptic formulations and PVP-I oral rinse antiseptic formulations from 1% to 5% concentrations as well as controls were studied for virucidal efficacy against the SARS-CoV-2. Test compounds were evaluated for ability to inactivate SARS-CoV-2 as measured in a virucidal assay. SARS-CoV-2 was exposed directly to the test compound for 60 seconds, compounds were then neutralized, and surviving virus was quantified. **Results:** All concentrations of nasal antiseptics and oral rinse antiseptics evaluated completely inactivated the SARS-CoV-2. **Conclusions:** Nasal and oral PVP-I antiseptic solutions are effective at inactivating the SARS-CoV-2 at a variety of concentrations after 60-second exposure times. The formulations tested may help to reduce the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 if used for nasal decontamination, oral decontamination, or surface decontamination in known or suspected cases of COVID-19.

Keywords

povidone iodine, SARS-CoV-2, nasal rinse, oral rinse

Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has emerged as a new pathogen of the coronavirus family responsible for the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. To date, nearly 4 million cases have been confirmed. Since the beginning of the outbreak, otolaryngologists have played a pivotal role. In China, they were among the first to recognize the emergence of the virus and were some of the earliest casualties among medical doctors. The virus is highly transmissible before, during, and after the acute clinical phase of illness. Viral loads are high in the nasal cavity, nasopharynx, and oropharynx.¹ Nasal goblet and

¹ Ocean Ophthalmology Group, Miami, FL, USA

² ProHealth Physicians Ear, Nose, and Throat, Farmington, CT, USA

³ Department of Otolaryngology, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT, USA

⁴ The Institute for Antiviral Research at Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA

⁵ Veloce BioPharma, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA

Received: May 31, 2020; revised: August 15, 2020; accepted: August 18, 2020

Corresponding Author:

Belachew Tessema, MD, FACS, ProHealth Physicians Ear, Nose, and Throat, 21 South Rd Suite 112, Farmington, CT 06032, USA.

Email: btessema@prohealthmd.com



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

Table 1. Virucidal Efficacy of PVP-I Antiseptic Compounds Against SARS-CoV-2 After a 60-Second Incubation With Virus at 22 °C ± 2 °C.

Test product	PVP-I concentration (%) after 1:1 dilution	Incubation time	Virus titer ^a	LRV ^b
PVP-I 5.0% nasal antiseptic	2.5	60 seconds	<0.67	4.63
PVP-I 2.5% nasal antiseptic	1.25	60 seconds	0.67	4.63
PVP-I 1.0% nasal antiseptic	0.50	60 seconds	0.67	4.63
PVP-I 3.0% oral rinse antiseptic	1.5	60 seconds	<0.67	4.63
PVP-I 1.5% oral rinse antiseptic	0.75	60 seconds	<0.67	4.63
PVP-I 1.0% oral rinse antiseptic	0.5	60 seconds	<0.67	4.63
Ethanol 70%	NA	60 seconds	<0.67	4.63
Virus control	NA	60 seconds	5.3	NA

Abbreviations: CCID50, 50% cell culture infectious dose; LRV, log reduction value; NA, not applicable; PVP-I, povidone iodine; SARS-CoV-2, Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2.

^aLog10 CCID50 of virus per 0.1 mL. The assay lower limit of detection is 0.67 Log10 CCID50/0.1 mL.

^bLRV is the reduction of virus compared to the virus control.

ciliated cells within the respiratory epithelium have the highest expression of ACE2, the main receptor of COVID-19.² Viral shedding can be detected from nasal swabs before, during, and after the onset of acute symptomatic disease including in seropositive antibody-converted convalescent cases.^{3,4} Multiple reports have demonstrated that the nasal cavity, nasopharynx, and oropharynx are important routes of transmission.^{5,6} Aerosol-generating procedures can enhance this transmission via transit through these areas of high viral content, releasing aerosols that can remain in the air for up to 3 hours.⁷ Transmission can occur in subclinical asymptomatic carriers, symptomatic infected carriers, and convalescent seroconverted patients.³ There is a growing need to develop processes to reduce virus transmission, as standard precautions including the donning of masks and gloves may not be sufficient. Early experience with COVID-19 outbreaks in hospital, and health care settings have led frontline providers to suggest nasal and oral application of povidone iodine (PVP-I) as part of a transmission reduction plan.⁸

Nasal and oral antiseptics has been recommended as part of a comprehensive plan to reduce the likelihood of virus transmission by reducing the number of active aerosolized virus particles from the nasal passages and oral cavity.^{9,10} Otolaryngologists, anesthesiologists, and oral surgeons have recommended specific protocols employing intranasal and intraoral PVP-I at dilute concentrations of 0.4% to 0.5% as a pre-procedure decontaminant. These groups also advocate that health care workers use intranasal and intraoral PVP-I—up to 4 times daily to reduce virus aerosolization.¹¹ The American Dental Association guidelines for minimizing risk of COVID-19 transmission advise use of PVP-I mouthwash prior to all procedures.¹²

In vitro efficacy studies of PVP-I aqueous solutions have demonstrated concentration-dependent activity against a range of bacterial, fungal, and protozoal pathogens.^{13,14} Antiviral studies have confirmed activity against adenoviruses, rhinoviruses, coxsackieviruses, and herpesviruses through presumed nonspecific mechanisms.¹⁵ Specific antiviral activity against influenza viruses involves receptor-mediated inhibition of hemagglutinin and neuraminidase pathways.¹⁶ Interest in the

use of PVP-I against coronaviruses was first reported in response to the SARS and MERS outbreaks in the past decade. Commercially available 10% PVP-I solutions have been tested against human coronaviruses HCoV 229E, HCoV-OC43, SARS, and MERS,¹⁷ although these commercial solutions are unsuitable for use in the nasal and oral cavities at commercially available concentrations. Homology with the current COVID-19 pathogen suggests that PVP-I might be effective, but there are no reported studies that have determined efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 for any PVP-I solutions.^{18,19} No reported studies have evaluated PVP-I nasal antiseptics or oral rinse antiseptics specifically against the SARS-CoV-2. We report here the first anti-SARS-CoV-2 evaluation of a nasal antiseptic and an oral rinse antiseptic containing PVP-I, which have been developed specifically for routine intranasal or oral use.

Methods

Biosafety

All work with SARS-CoV-2 was conducted in biosafety level 3 laboratories at The Institute for Antiviral Research at Utah State University following established standard operating procedures approved by the USU Biohazards Committee.

Virus, Media, and Cells

SARS-CoV-2, USA-WA1/2020 strain, stock was prepared prior to testing by growing in Vero 76 cells. Culture media for prepared stock (test media) was MEM with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 50 µg/mL gentamicin.

Test Compounds

Nasal antiseptic solutions and oral rinse antiseptic solutions consisting of aqueous PVP-I as the sole active ingredient were supplied by Veloce BioPharma. Povidone iodine concentrations of each solution as supplied and after 1:1 dilution are listed in Table 1.

Virucidal Assay

The test compounds were mixed directly with virus solution so that the final concentration was 50% of each individual test compound and 50% virus solution. A single concentration was tested in triplicate. Test media without virus was added to 2 tubes of the compounds to serve as toxicity and neutralization controls. Ethanol (70%) was tested in parallel as a positive control and water only as a virus control. The test solutions and virus were incubated at room temperature ($22^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$) for 1 minute. The solution was then neutralized by a 1/10 dilution in MEM 2% FBS, 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ gentamicin.

Virus Quantification

Surviving virus from each sample was quantified by standard end point dilution assay. Briefly, the neutralized samples were pooled and serially diluted using 8 log dilutions in test medium. Then 100 μL of each dilution was plated into quadruplicate wells of 96-well plates containing 80% to 90% confluent Vero 76 cells. The toxicity controls were added to an additional 4 wells of Vero 76 cells and 2 of those wells at each dilution were infected with virus to serve as neutralization controls, ensuring that residual sample in the titer assay plate did not inhibit growth and detection of surviving virus. Plates were incubated at $37^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ with 5% CO_2 for 5 days. Each well was then scored for presence or absence of infectious virus. The titers were measured using a standard end point dilution 50% cell culture infectious dose (CCID50) assay calculated using the Reed-Muench (1948) equation and the log reduction value (LRV) of each compound compared to the negative (water) control was calculated.

Results

Virus titers and LRV of SARS-CoV-2 after incubation with each of the nasal and oral antiseptics evaluated were effective at reducing $>4 \log_{10}$ CCID50 infectious virus, from $5.3 \log_{10}$ CCID50/0.1 mL to $1 \log_{10}$ CCID50/0.1 mL or less. No **cytotoxicity, or cell death**, was observed in any of the test wells. Positive control and neutralization controls performed as expected **and did not cause cell death**.

Discussion

Reopening of nonurgent clinical care environments and the commencement of elective surgical procedures in otolaryngology and other surgical specialties that perform aerosol generating procedures must be accompanied by attempts to reduce the likelihood of virus transmission. Current approaches to minimize COVID-19 transmission are anchored by 3 common strategies. First, the routine and widespread use of personal protection equipment (PPE) including masks forms a physical barrier to transmission.²⁰ Second, the frequent and thorough disinfection of hands, surfaces, and fomites which is important to mechanically remove and chemically inactivate shed virus particles and prevent their translocation to new hosts. Finally,

nasal and oral decontamination with PVP-I is recommended to reduce the amount of virus particle aerosolization before it reaches barriers, surfaces, and fomites.^{8-10,21}

The challenge in nasal antiseptics is to find effective topical preparations which are safe to administer. Ethanol, for example, is known to be an effective virucidal agent but cannot be safely used in the nose.²²⁻²⁴ Povidone iodine solutions are commonly used in health care settings as skin antiseptics, although most are contraindicated for intranasal use as they can decrease the ciliary beat frequency (CBF) at commercially available concentrations. Dilute concentrations below 1.25% do not have an inhibitory effect on CBF.²⁵ They are well established in ophthalmology and commonly employed for preparation of the ocular surface and ocular adnexa. Povidone iodine concentrations of 2.5% and above are toxic to nasal mucosa,²⁶ upper airway respiratory cells,²⁷ and ciliated epithelia.²⁸ Clinically, chronic topical use of concentrations up to 5% when used daily have been demonstrated to not result in thyroid disease.²⁹ Although patients rinsed daily with PVP-I solutions in these studies, the specific contact time was not reported. Despite their toxicity at higher concentrations, aqueous PVP-I solutions have been demonstrated to have concentration and contact time-dependent efficacy against a wide range of organisms.¹⁴ We report here the first studies of PVP-I against SARS-CoV-2 in a virucidal assay. We also report the first and only anti-SARS-CoV-2 evaluation of nasal and oral antiseptics containing PVP-I preparations developed for safe, routine intranasal and intraoral use.

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has reinforced the need for diligent attention to infection control, especially in otolaryngology and other outpatient health care-related settings. Strict adherence to the use of physical barriers, spatial separation, and PPE are important aspects of any control program. In addition, chemical antiseptics remains a critical tool in the decontamination of fomites and surfaces, including surfaces found on patients and health care workers themselves. The nasal cavities, nasopharynx, oral cavity, and oropharynx of infected individuals all demonstrate high viral loads of SARS-CoV-2 and are principal reservoirs for the virus. There is a growing interest for decontamination of these areas in patients and health care workers to prevent virus transmission. Povidone iodine is of primary interest due to its ability to inactivate a broad range of pathogens, lack of microbial resistance, and long history of clinical use. The antimicrobial efficacy of PVP-I is highly dependent on the organism being eradicated, the PVP-I concentration, and the antiseptic contact time. Although PVP-I has been shown to be a potent and broad virucidal agent active against even other members of the coronavirus family, specific activity against the SARS-CoV-2 had not been previously reported. The data reported here demonstrate the *in vitro* efficacy of PVP-I nasal and oral preparations specifically developed for use in the nasal passages, nasopharynx, and oral cavities. Moreover, the antiseptics studied are rapidly virucidal at concentrations suitable for safe administration to the nasal and oral mucosa. This helps to support the recommendations for nasal and oral PVP-I use within the clinical community.^{11,12}

Additional studies evaluating these formulations at contact times less than 60 seconds are being conducted to determine the range of exposure times over which virucidal activity is observed. Other methods, such as surfactants, are also under review as potential virucidal agents to aid in the elimination of SARS-CoV-2 and reduction of transmission. The efficacy of these nasal and oral antiseptics against SARS-CoV-2 may support their use as additional hygiene measures in the COVID-19 outbreak. Additionally, the adoption of health care-specific protocols utilizing PVP-I as an oral rinse and/or intranasally may be useful in decreasing viral burden in the outpatient setting.


Declaration of Conflicting Interests


The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Funding for laboratory materials was provided by Veloce BioPharma. The authors disclose that Joseph A. Capriotti and Jesse S Pelletier are executive board members at Veloce BioPharma.

ORCID iD

Belachew Tessema  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7418-6034>

Samantha Frank  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5068-1065>

References

1. Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in upper respiratory specimens of infected patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; 382(12):1177-1179.
2. Sungnak W, Huang N, Bécavin C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 entry factors are highly expressed in nasal epithelial cells together with innate immune genes. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(5):681-687.
3. Wei WE, Li Z, Chiew CJ, et al. Presymptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2—Singapore, January 23–March 16, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(14):411-415. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6914e1.
4. Xu D, Zhang Z, Jin L, et al. Persistent shedding of viable SARS-CoV in urine and stool of SARS patients during the convalescent phase. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2005;24(3):165-171.
5. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497-506. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
6. Krajewska J, Krajewski W, Zub K, et al. COVID-19 in otolaryngologist practice: a review of current knowledge. *Eur Arch Otorhinol*. 2020;277(7):1885-1897. doi:10.1007/s00405-020-05968-y
7. Van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, et al. Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(16):1564-1567.
8. Mady L, Kubik M, Baddour K, et al. Consideration of povidone-iodine as a public healthy intervention for COVID-19: utilization as “personal protective equipment” for frontline providers exposed in high-risk head and neck and skull base oncology care. *Oral Oncol* 2020;105:104724.
9. Parhar HS, Tasche K, Brody RM, et al. Topical preparations to reduce SARS-CoV-2 aerosolization in head and neck mucosal surgery. *Head Neck*. 2020;42(6):1268-1272.
10. Dexter F, Parra MC, Brown JR, et al. Perioperative COVID-19 defense: an evidence-based approach for optimization of infection control and operating room management. *Anesth analg*. 2020; 131(1):37-42.
11. Khan MM, Parab SR, Paranjape M. Repurposing 0.5% povidone iodine solution in otorhinolaryngology practice in Covid 19 pandemic. *Am J Otolaryngol*. 2020;41(5):102618.
12. Tessema B, Frank S, Bidra A. SARS-CoV-2 viral inactivation using low dose povidone-iodine oral rinse-immediate application for the prosthodontic practice. *J Prosthodont*. 2020;29(6):459.
13. Gocke DJ, Ponticas S, Pollack W. In vitro studies of the killing of clinical isolates by povidone-iodine solutions. *J Hosp Infect*. 1985;6(Suppl A):59-66.
14. Berkelman RL, Holland BW, Anderson RL. Increased bactericidal activity of dilute preparations of povidone-iodine solutions. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1982;15(4):635-639.
15. Eggers M. Infectious disease management and control with povidone iodine. *Infect Dis Ther*. 2019;8(4):581-593.
16. Sriwilaijaroen N, Wilairat P, Hiramatsu H, et al. Mechanisms of the action of povidone-iodine against human and avian influenza A viruses: its effects on hemagglutination and sialidase activities. *Virol J*. 2009;6(1):124
17. Eggers M, Eickmann M, Zorn J. Rapid and effective virucidal activity of povidone-iodine products against middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA). *Infectious diseases Therapy*. 2015;4(4): 491-501.
18. Kawana R, Kitamura T, Nakagomi O, et al. Inactivation of human viruses by povidone iodine in comparison with other antiseptics. *Dermatology*. 1997;195(Suppl):29-35.
19. Kariwa H, Fujii N, Takashima I. Inactivation of SARS coronavirus by means of povidone iodine, physical conditions and chemical reagents. *Dermatology*. 2006;212(Suppl): 119-123.
20. Leung NHL, Chu DKW, Shiu EYC, et al. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. *Nat Med*. 2020; 26:676-680.
21. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in china: Summary of a report of 72314 cases from the Chinese center for disease control and prevention. *JAMA*. 2020.
22. Vetter A, Augustijns P, Bernkop-Schnurch A. Solubilizing agents in nasal formulations and their effect on ciliary beat frequency. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 2012;26(1):150-156.
23. Workman A, Cohen NA. The effect of drugs and other compounds on the ciliary beat frequency of human respiratory epithelium. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. 2014;28(6):454-464.
24. Sapkota M, Wyatt TA. Alcohol, aldehydes, adducts and airways. *Biomolecules*. 2015;5(4):2987-3008.
25. Reimer K, Wichelhaus TA, Schafer V. Antimicrobial effectiveness of povidone-iodine and consequences for new application areas. *Dermatology*. 2002;204(Suppl 1):114-120.

26. Ramezanpour M, Smith JLP, Psaltis AJ, et al. In vitro safety evaluation of a povidone-iodine solution applied to human nasal epithelial cells. [Online ahead of print.] *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2020. doi:10.1002/alr.22575
27. Kim JH, Rimmer J, Mrad N, Ahmadzada S, Harvey RJ. Betadine has a ciliotoxic effect on ciliated human respiratory cells. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2015;129(Suppl 1):S45-50.
28. Sato S, Miyake M, Hazama A, et al. Povidone-iodine-induced cell death in cultured human epithelial HeLa cells and rat oral mucosal tissue. *Drug Chem Toxicol*. 2014;37(3):268-275.
29. Frank S, Capriotti J, Brown SM, Tessema B. Povidone-iodine use in sinonasal and oral cavities: a review of safety in the COVID-19 era. [Online ahead of print]. *Ear Nose Throat J*. 2020; 145561320932318.